



## Report Recommendations/ Response/Status Matrix



## Report Recommendations/Response/Status Matrix

### OVERVIEW

---

At Enclosure 1 is a matrix, page 2, that shows the recommendations of the Task Force from all three annual reports. It also contains the DoD response to the recommendations from the Initial Report and the Second Year Report as well as the status of implementation of each recommendation as known by the Task Force at the time of publication of this report. The matrix is divided into three sections, one for each annual report and is organized in sequential order by issue number. Each recommendation block is numbered for identification purposes and most blocks contain multiple recommendations.

At Enclosure 2, page 24, is a listing of each Key Point and Core Principle of Intervention from the Strategic Plan as described in Section I, Executive Summary, of this report. Since each recommendation contributes to the overall efficacy of the Strategic Plan, the list at Enclosure 2 places each recommendation (by matrix number) under the appropriate Key Point and Core Principle. In some cases, recommendations appear under two or more Key Points and Core Principles.

Using the CD Rom:

- In the matrix at Enclosure 1, simply click in the recommendation block and you will be linked directly to the complete issue/recommendation as it appears in the Initial, Second, or Third Year Report.
- In the listing at Enclosure 2, simply click on the recommendation number and you will be linked directly to the appropriate location in the recommendation matrix. From there, you can further link to the complete issue/recommendation as it appears in the Initial, Second, or Third Year Report.

*Defense Task Force on Domestic Violence (DTFDV)*

## Summary of Annual Reports Recommendation/Response/Status Matrix

Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<b>Initial Report – February 2001</b>			
<p>1. Issue 1.A – Community Collaboration Policies: <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Amend DoDD 6400.1 to require installation/regional commanders to seek MOUs with local communities to address responses to DV;</p> <p>(2) Create an enclosure to DoDD 6400.1 that provides examples of MOUs and guidance in negotiating the creation and implementation of such memoranda;</p> <p>(3) Make DV MOUs with local communities an item of special interest for the DoD and Service IGs.</p>	Initial Report, Page 21	DoD agrees with these recommendations, and requests that the DTFDV develop examples of such MOUs and guidance in cooperation with OSD and Service personnel. DoD proposes to amend DoDD 6400.1 to incorporate such MOUs and to require the DoD and Service IGs to make DV MOUs with local communities an item of special interest.	Continued in Second Year Report where we outlined essential items to be included in the MOUs. Provided copies of sample MOUs to OSD FAP.
<p>2. Issue 1.B – Liaison with Civilian Community: <i>Recommend DoD</i></p> <p>establish and fund a DV Response Coordinator position at each major installation.</p>	Initial Report, Page 23	DoD agreed that coordination of installations' responses to DV should include local civilian community, noted that DoD would study the cost of establishing the position on major installations, and requested that the TF provide additional info on tasks and skills.	In Second Year Report on page 28, DTFDV provided a listing of suggested duties for the position of DV Response Coordinator.
<p>3. Issue 1.C – Civilian Orders of Protection: <i>Recommend DoD</i></p> <p>make violations of a valid civilian order of protection by a military member an offense under UCMJ and recommend Congress enact legislation making it a crime to disobey a civilian order of protection on federal property.</p>	Initial Report, Page 25	DoD will refer UCMJ recommendation to Joint Service Committee on Military Justice for consideration. DoD deferred to DoJ on recommendation regarding legislation creating a new federal offense.	Continued in Second Year Report

Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<p>4. Issue 1.D – Military Protective Orders: <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Use standard MPO;</li> <li>(2) Require written MPO;</li> <li>(3) Require copy to victim within 24 hours of issuance;</li> <li>(4) Centrally record &amp; track MPO;</li> <li>(5) Require copies to FAP and installation MPs.</li> </ul>	Initial Report, Page 26	DoD concurred with first three and the last recommendation and agreed to amend DoDD 6400.1 accordingly. DoD asked to study the fourth recommendation further in coordination with the TF.	In Second Year Report on page 32, DTFDV provided a recommended standard MPO.
<p>5. Issue 2.A – Improve Commanding Officer Training: <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Require initial training for commanding officers with annual refreshers;</li> <li>(2) With DTFDV, develop standardized curricula.</li> </ul>	Initial Report, Page 31	DoD concurred with both recommendations and agreed to amend DoDD 6400.1 to include training content issues developed by DTFDV.	Consolidated with Issue 2.B from Initial Report. In Second Year Report on page 53, DTFDV provided a detailed outline of essential subject areas to be included in training.
<p>6. Issue 2.B – Standardize Education and Training Programs for Senior Noncommissioned Officers: <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Require initial DV training for SNCOs in key billets with annual refreshers;</li> <li>(2) With DTFDV, develop standardized curricula.</li> </ul>	Initial Report, Page 34	DoD concurred with both recommendations and agreed to amend DoDD 6400.1 to include training content issues developed by DTFDV.	Incorporated into Issue 2.A in Second Year Report. In Second Year Report on page 53, DTFDV provided a detailed outline of essential subject areas to be included in training.
<p>7. Issue 2.C – Military Criminal Justice Training: <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Develop DV instruction for initial training of military police;</li> <li>(2) Ensure local military police patrol officers receive DV training;</li> <li>(3) Create DV mobile training teams for military police;</li> <li>(4) Develop list of state-of-the-art DV equipment for military police;</li> <li>(5) Initiate DV evidence-based training for SJAs.</li> </ul>	Initial Report, Page 37	DoD concurred with first two recommendations and agreed to amend DoDD 6400.1 to include training content issues developed by DTFDV. DoD agreed to study cost of implementing third recommendation. DoD agreed to review existing investigative equipment requirements and prosecution training for SJA and to implement changes as appropriate.	Continued in Second Year Report as Issue 2.B

Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<p><b>8. Issue 2.D – Awareness of Lautenberg Amendment:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i>            (1) Conduct Lautenberg awareness campaign;            (2) Require annual Lautenberg awareness education.</p>	Initial Report, Page 41	DoD concurred with both recommendations and agreed to amend DoDD 6400.1.	
<p><b>9. Issue 2.E – Healthcare Personnel Response to Domestic Violence:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i>            (1) With DTFDV develop DV awareness education for all healthcare staff;            (2) Study adoption of indicator-based screening for DV;            (3) Require initial DV training for NPSP nurses;            (4) Request Congress fully fund NPSP.</p>	Initial Report, Page 43	DoD concurred with first three recommendations and agreed to amend DoDD 6400.1 to include requiring initial DV training for all NPSP personnel not just nurses. DoD took no position on last recommendation.	See Issue 2.E in Second Year Report
<p><b>10. Issue 2.F – Setting the Climate for Effective Prevention of Domestic Violence:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD</i>            issue a policy memorandum regarding DV and with DTFDV, develop DV training for chaplains.</p>	Initial Report, Page 45	DoD agreed to review current awareness and education training for chaplains and agreed to amend DoDD 6400.1 accordingly.	DoD issued a DV policy memorandum signed by DepSecDef on November 19, 2001. See Issue 2.D in Second Year Report
<p><b>11. Issue 2.G – Transitional Compensation Program Awareness:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD</i>            mandate TC awareness education for spouses. DTFDV Victim Safety Workgroup to continue to investigate the issue of TC.</p>	Initial Report, Page 47	DoD concurred with recommendation as it pertained to the Department and agreed to amend DoDI 1342.24 and DoDD 6400.1 accordingly.	See Issue 4.G in Second Year Report

Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<p><b>12. Issue 3.A – Criminality:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i>  (1) Investigate every DV incident to determine if crime was committed;  (2) Train law enforcement, legal, and command to collaborate on DV crime determination;  (3) Develop guidelines for commanding officers in DV substantiation determinations.</p>	Initial Report, Page 51	DoD agreed with first recommendation as it pertained to law enforcement first responders. DoD agreed to review current training in implementation of second recommendation and to amend DoDD 6400.1 accordingly. DoD agreed with third recommendation and pledged to implement consistent with UCMJ and MCM.	Continued in Second Year Report
<p><b>13. Issue 3.B – Lautenberg and Enlistment Waivers:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i>  (1) Ensure Services comply with interim guidance;  (2) Review Lautenberg waivers.</p>	Initial Report, Page 53	DoD stated that it would ask Services to ensure appropriateness of Lautenberg waivers.	
<p><b>14. Issue 3.C – Lautenberg and Discharges:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD</i>  issue final Lautenberg guidance.</p>	Initial Report, Page 54	DoD concurred with recommendation and stated that it would issue final Lautenberg guidance.	DoD issued final Lautenberg guidance on November 27, 2002.
<p><b>15. Issue 3.D – Case Management:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i>  (1) Require comprehensive, effective batterer intervention;  (2) Develop criteria for differing interventions;  (3) Develop criteria for risk/lethality assessments;  (4) Develop criteria for success in offender behavior after intervention.</p>	Initial Report, Page 55	DoD stated that it wanted to develop batterer intervention and other intervention strategies and develop criteria for success with DTFDV. DoD stated that it wanted to review Service risk assessment procedures with the DTFDV.	Continued in Second Year Report as Issue 3.B
<p><b>16. Issue 3.E – Fatality Reviews:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i>  (1) Develop guidance for formal and informal fatality reviews;  (2) Require results/system change recommendations to be done in timely manner.</p>	Initial Report, Page 57	DoD concurred with recommendations and stated that guidance for fatality reviews should be developed through coordination with civilian agencies and incorporated into MOUs with them and that DoDD 6400.1 would be amended accordingly.	Continued in Second Year Report as Issue 3.C. In Second Year Report on page 84, DTFDV provided detailed information on various components of fatality reviews for DoD's consideration.

Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<p>17. Issue 3.F – Tracking and Data Collection: <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Develop guidance to capture data required by § 594, PL 106-65;</p> <p>(2) Study whether DIBRS should replace FAP central registry;</p> <p>(3) Expand FAP database to comply with § 594 requirements if DIBRS delayed;</p> <p>(4) Evaluate data collection methods.</p>	Initial Report, Page 59	DoD agreed with first and fourth recommendations and stated that DoDD 7730.47M is already being amended. DoD did not agree with second and third recommendations and stated that DIBRS and the FAP central registry serve fundamentally different purposes: law enforcement and clinical treatment, respectively.	Continued in Second Year Report as Issue 3.D. In response to DoD, DTFDV stated it believes access to DV data in DIBRS important to DV goals and recommended full implementation of DIBRS at earliest possible date.
<p>18. Issue 3.G – Evaluation: <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Require DV program evaluation;</p> <p>(2) Establish advisory committee to oversee program evaluation;</p> <p>(3) Establish protocol for evaluating field-based DV programs;</p> <p>(4) Use regional oversight and monitoring visits.</p>	Initial Report, Page 61	DoD concurred with the goal of strengthening the Services' program evaluations and agreed to amend DoDD 6400.1 accordingly. DoD requested to work with DTFDV to clarify expectations and strengthen procedures for program evaluations and expressed view that advisory committee may be unnecessary.	
<p>19. Issue 4.A – Mandatory Reporting: <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) With Services and DTFDV, review impact of mandatory reporting on various factors;</p> <p>(2) Develop evaluation criteria to measure effectiveness of mandatory reporting on various factors.</p>	Initial Report, Page 65	DoD agreed with recommendations and requested to work with DTFDV on second recommendation.	
<p>20. Issue 4.B – Removal of Service Member Victim from Housing Following a Domestic Violence Incident: <i>Recommend DoD</i></p> <p>develop policy on who should be removed from military housing following DV incident. Ensure first responder law enforcement personnel receive specialized training in identifying primary aggressor.</p>	Initial Report, Page 69	DoD concurred with first recommendation and agreed to amend DoDD 6400.1 accordingly. DoD agreed with recommendation that law enforcement first responders receive DV training, but disagreed that first responders should identify a primary aggressor.	Continued in Second Year Report. In response to DoD, DTFDV reiterated its belief that victim safety is enhanced by identification of primary aggressor and recommended that DoD reconsider its response.



Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<p><b>21. Issue 4.C – Confidential Resource for Military Victims:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i>            (1) Working with Services and DTFDV, expand availability of National DV Hotline: Seek partnership with DoJ and DHHS to pilot a program to provide confidential community services to victims who are military spouses/partners;            (2) Explore options to create system of confidential services for victims.</p>	Initial Report, Page 71	DoD concurred with all recommendations.	On page 103 of Second Year Report, DTFDV stated no pilot program is now needed. DoD FAP manager and NDVH personnel from DHHS exploring development of and training on a military-related template to be used by NDVH. Template will be distributed to installations through Military Family Resource Center. DTFDV to monitor during third year.
<p><b>22. Issue 4.D – Educating New Family Member Spouses About Their Options Regarding Services for Domestic Violence:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i>            (1) Include in installation “welcome packets” info on DV;            (2) Issue statement from SecDef on victim safety;            (3) Issue specific info on FAP services;            (4) Issue specific info on NDVH and local community DV services.</p>	Initial Report, Page 77	DoD agreed with all recommendations and committed to begin implementation.	
<p><b>23. Issue 5.A – Definition:</b>            In the Initial Report, the DTFDV developed a definition of DV to be used as a working definition in accomplishing its statutory mission.</p>	Initial Report, Page 80	While no specific recommendation for action by DoD was recommended, DoD agreed to study the DTFDV definition for its suitability for use in DoD policy.	
<p><b>24. Issue 5.B – Confidentiality:</b>            There were no recommendations for DoD in this section of the Initial Report. Recommendations dealing with confidentiality were contained in Issue 4.C on page 71 of Initial Report.</p>	Initial Report, Page 83	Not applicable	Continued in Second Year Report

Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<p>25. <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Partner with National Institute of Justice and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to further articulate research agenda and organize scientific community-wide requests for applications and peer review process of proposals;</p> <p>(2) Facilitate and encourage publication in peer reviewed journals completed military DV research;</p> <p>(3) This research agenda should not preclude funding of research into causes, consequences, and interventions of DV in the military through other Services' research funding mechanisms.</p> <p><i>Recommend</i> the following research priorities in the following areas:</p> <p>(1) Reliable differentiation of different types of abusers and abusive situations;</p> <p>(2) What interventions work best for both offenders and victims;</p> <p>(3) Clarify how well military specific approach to DV is working and where it should be modified;</p> <p>(4) Determine actual versus reported prevalence of DV;</p> <p>(5) Determine which approaches to DV prevention work and for whom;</p> <p>(6) Evaluate knowledge and consistency of key players;</p> <p>(7) Determine efficacy of marital type counseling for low-level DV cases; study men's and women's use of violence; study impact of lack of confidentiality on disclosure and victim safety.</p>	Initial Report, Page 97	DoD funds research into health and other issues that affect active duty service members. DoD agrees that such research should be guided by these recommendations and the research agenda, as appropriate. DoD agrees that DV research examining issues affecting family members of active duty service members that is funded by other federal agencies should be guided by the research agenda, as appropriate.	

Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<b>Second Year Report – February 2002</b>			
<p>26. Issue 1.A – Community Collaboration Policies:</p> <p><i>Recommend DoD</i></p> <p>issue official instructions as follows: Military installation officials should seek to establish relationships which foster collaboration with community based services for victims of DV; local law enforcement departments; local prosecutor's office(s); and local criminal, civil, and DV court(s). The ultimate goal being the improvement of command awareness of DV issues, improvement of the delivery of services to and safety of victims, and increased accountability of offenders.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 25	DoD agrees with this recommendation.	
<p>27. Issue 1.B – Liaison with Civilian Community:</p> <p>Provided a list of suggested duties for DV Response Coordinator and again recommended establishment of such positions at installation level.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 27	DoD agreed to study the recommendations.	
<p>28. Issue 1.C – Civilian Orders of Protection:</p> <p>TF provided DoD with proposed language to send to Congress to amend §103, title 18 to make it a crime to violate a civilian order of protection on federal property.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 29	DoD deferred to DoJ on this recommendation.	On December 2, 2002, PL107-311, Armed Forces Domestic Security Act was signed into law making it a federal crime to violate a civilian protective order on a military installation.
<p>29. Issue 1.D – Military Protective Orders:</p> <p><i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Adopt the standard MPO provided;</p> <p>(2) Adopt policy that commanding officers remove and bar civilian DV offenders from installations;</p> <p>(3) Train commanding officers on MPOs</p>	Second Year Report, Page 31	<p>RECOMMENDATION ONE: DoD agreed and stated that it would prepare a DoD form.</p> <p>RECOMMENDATION TWO: DoD agreed with the intent, had some concerns, and agreed to study.</p> <p>RECOMMENDATION THREE: DoD agreed.</p>	

Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<p><b>30. Issue 1.E – Collaboration Between Military Organizations:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i>            (1) Reconstitute DoD-level FAC;            (2) Require quarterly meetings of DoD-level FAC;            (3) Require Service-level FACs;            (4) Require installation-level FACs;            (5) Charter DoD-level FAC to collaborate among Services to improve services, victim safety, and offender accountability</p>	Second Year Report, Page 34	<p>RECOMMENDATION ONE: DoD agreed with goal, but will use other means (currently existing FAP Managers Working Group) to achieve.</p> <p>RECOMMENDATION TWO: DoD considers moot based on 1 above.</p> <p>RECOMMENDATION THREE: DoD considers moot and states that Services already have such a group.</p> <p>RECOMMENDATION FOUR: DoD considers moot and states installation-level FACs already required.</p> <p>RECOMMENDATION FIVE: DoD stated their FAP Managers Working Group would do this.</p>	
<p><b>31. Issue 1.F – Policies for Joint Service Management of DV Incidents:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD</i>            require notification to gaining commander of pending transfer of service member with open FAP (DV) case to ensure needed services are available at new duty station.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 36	DoD agreed with intent of recommendation and added that if FAP case opened within 60 days of transfer, then transfer should be delayed. Also, stated that FAPM at gaining installation should make a recommendation to gaining commanding officer on whether or not services are available.	
<p><b>32. Issue 1.G – Collaboration Among Military Criminal Investigation Organizations:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i>            (1) Request DEW group create sub-working group of DCIOs to address DV issues;            (2) Forward law enforcement DV issues to JSCC.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 37	DoD agreed with both recommendations, and stated that Department will ensure that the DCIOs regularly address domestic violence issues.	

Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<p><b>33. Issue 1.H – Review Unique Overseas Requirements and Pre-Assignment Screening Procedures:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i>  (1) Not assign overseas service/family members undergoing DV program unless services available in gaining command;  (2) Not assign overseas service/family members pending court action for DV offense.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 38	<p>RECOMMENDATION ONE: DoD agreed with intent, but will study implementation with DTFDV since each Service's personnel center would receive notification that a service member should not be transferred or that family members should not be sponsored for overseas movement under such circumstances.  RECOMMENDATION TWO: DoD agreed.</p>	
<p><b>34. Issue 1.I – Return of Service/Family Members as a Result of an Overseas DV Incident:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD</i>  establish procedures for returning service/family members to CONUS following DV incident depending on severity and availability of services.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 40	DoD agreed with intent, but will study implementation to ensure that it will not foster manipulation of the assignment process.	
<p><b>35. Issue 1.J – Multi-Cultural and Cross-Cultural Collaborations:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i>  (1) Provide promotion materials that advertise family services that portray total community;  (2) Provide promotional materials in language of population served;  (3) Encourage installation reps to coordinate with local, diverse organizations;  (4) Encourage input of foreign-born spouses in design of outreach materials on DV.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 42	DoD agreed with all recommendations.	
<p><b>36. Issue 1.K – Review Enforcement of Civil and Criminal Warrants/Orders on Military Installations:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i>  (1) Establish standard policy of enforcement of warrants/orders on military installations;  (2) Evaluate Service "best practices."</p>	Second Year Report, Page 43	<p>RECOMMENDATION ONE: DoD agreed to study recommendation and felt that problem could be successfully resolved through training and Service oversight of existing Service policies in lieu of a standard DoD policy.  RECOMMENDATION TWO: DoD agreed.</p>	

Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<p>37. Issue 1.L – Incentives to Encourage Civilian Law Enforcement/Judicial Agencies to Establish Partnerships with Military Installations:</p> <p><i>Recommend DoD</i></p> <p>create with DoJ an initiatives, including financial incentives, to encourage collaborative agreements between civilian law enforcement/judicial agencies and military installations in the areas of information sharing, training material and opportunities, programs, and other DV resources.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 44	DoD responded that this recommendation should be directed to DoJ and agreed to cooperate fully with such an initiative.	<i>Note:</i> DTFDV was charged by Congress to make recommendations to the Secretary of Defense. Based on its response, DoD should coordinate this action with DoJ
<p>38. Issue 2.A – Improve Commanding Officer and Senior Noncommissioned Officer Training:</p> <p><i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Develop a standard, state-of-the-art curriculum for all commanding officers and key-billeted SNCOs;</p> <p>(2) Explore use of state-of-the-art training platforms such as WEB-based training.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 50	DoD agreed that commanding officers and key billeted noncommissioned officers should be trained with the same curriculum and agreed that furnished outline was a useful starting point for developing such a curriculum.	
<p>39. Issue 2.B – Military Criminal Justice Training:</p> <p><i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Provide law enforcement first providers with audio-visual equipment;</p> <p>(2) Provide training on use of such equipment.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 56	DoD agreed with both recommendations.	
<p>40. Issue 2.E – Forensic Medical Training on Domestic Violence for Healthcare Personnel in the First Responder Role:</p> <p><i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Implement standardized medical forensic training for healthcare providers in first responder roles;</p> <p>(2) Explore state-of-the-art training platforms such as WEB-based training for forensic medical training.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 69	DoD agreed with both recommendations.	

Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<p>41. Issue 2.C – Setting the Climate for Effective Prevention of Domestic Violence Through a General Awareness Campaign: <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) With organizations experienced in DV prevention programs, develop an ongoing DV awareness campaign;</p> <p>(2) Highlight senior leadership policy on non-tolerance of DV;</p> <p>(3) Include DV awareness education in basic officer/enlisted schools;</p> <p>(4) Include DV awareness education in PME, local training, etc;</p> <p>(5) Target a program of DV education to grades E1-E4;</p> <p>(6) Emphasize need to reach spouses residing off-installation;</p> <p>(7) With DoDEA, incorporate DV awareness into dependent schools;</p> <p>(8) Ensure cultural diversity education for those overseas.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 58	DoD agreed with recommendations one through six and eight and agreed to study recommendation seven.	
<p>42. Issue 2.D – Standardize Education and Training Programs for Chaplains: <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Develop policy on clergy confidentiality;</p> <p>(2) With Chaplain Working Group and DTFDV, develop DV training for Chaplains' Basic Courses and ensure training for those overseas.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 63	DoD agreed with both recommendations.	
<p>43. Issue 3.A – Criminality: <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Establish law enforcement protocol for DV investigations;</p> <p>(2) Incorporate into education programs factors for legal and commanding officers to consider in responding to DV as a crime.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 75	DoD agreed with both recommendations.	

Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<p><b>44. Issue 3.B – Case Management:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i>            (1) Incorporate criteria provided by DTFDV into policy update for DV case management;            (2) Formally evaluate repeat offenders/treatment failures for continued service.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 79	DoD agreed with both recommendations.	
<p><b>45. Issue 3.C – Fatality Reviews:</b>            DTFDV made no specific recommendations, but pledged to continue researching issue in conjunction with DoD with goal of implementing DV fatality reviews.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 82	NA since no specific recommendation was made by the DTFDV.	
<p><b>46. Issue 3.D – Tracking and Data Collection:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD</i>            fully implement DIBRS at earliest possible date.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 89	DoD agreed.	
<p><b>47. Issue 3.E – Civilian Offenders:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i>            (1) Seek to improve civil-military cooperation to foster victim safety;            (2) Work with DoJ to implement Military Extraterritorial Jurisdiction Act of 2000 to ensure proper emphasis for DV.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 92	DoD agreed with both recommendations.	
<p><b>48. Issue 4.A – Provisions for Legal Consultation and Referral for Victims of Domestic Violence:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i>            (1) Direct Services to advise DV victims of legal resources;            (2) Document that info on legal resources was provided;            (3) Direct Services to train legal assistance personnel on VAWA, specifically immigration issues arising from DV;            (4) Direct Services to train legal assistance personnel on TC.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 99	DoD agreed with all recommendations.	



Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<p>49. Issue 4.B – Removal of Service Member Victim from Housing Following a Domestic Violence Incident:</p> <p><i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Include specific language provided by DTFDV in DoDD on this subject;</p> <p>(2) Ensure appropriate regulations on this issue are changed and consider policy memos from Service Secretaries.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 101	<p>RECOMMENDATION ONE: DoD agreed with intent and said it would draft policy language, but noted that policy should include consideration of the victim's input as to whether the victim should remain in the military family housing.</p> <p>RECOMMENDATION TWO: DoD agreed.</p>	
<p>50. Issue 4.C – Confidential Resource for Victims:</p> <p><i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Collaborate with NDVH in assessing materials to expand awareness and use of hotline;</p> <p>(2) Pursue funding for outreach;</p> <p>(3) Explore hotlines overseas;</p> <p>(4) Collaborate with civilian victim agencies potentially impacted by military use.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 103	DoD agreed with recommendations one, three, and four and agreed to study recommendation two.	
<p>51. Issue 4.D – Services to Victims of Domestic Violence:</p> <p><i>Recommend DoD</i></p> <p>mandate that each Service provide and emphasize a Victim Advocate Program. DTFDV committed to addressing models and funding in Third Year Report.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 105	DoD agreed to study feasibility of victim advocate models proposed by the DTFDV in its third year report.	
<p>52. Issue 4.E – Partial Entitlement for Travel and Shipment of Household Goods for Victims of Domestic Violence:</p> <p><i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Seek statutory authority for payment of travel expenses and shipment of HHG (and POV when overseas) for victims when warranted.</p> <p>(2) Specified certain minimum stipulations on authority.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 108	DoD agreed with first recommendation and agreed to study the second.	

Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<p><b>53. Issue 4.F – Victim Safety Planning:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Develop policy for safety plans by Services;  (2) Adopt safety plan provided by DTFDV;  (3) Adopt risk assessment tool provided by DTFDV;  (4) Ensure availability of victim advocates to aid in safety planning/risk assessments.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 110	<p>RECOMMENDATION ONE and TWO: DoD agreed.</p> <p>RECOMMENDATION THREE: DoD did not agree to adopt a particular risk assessment tool at this time, but will consider doing so in the future since they are currently studying the issue.</p> <p>RECOMMENDATION FOUR: DoD agreed to study.</p>	In Second Year Report on page 113, DTFDV provided a detailed safety plan for victims that should be incorporated into any education program for new family member spouses about their options regarding services for DV.
<p><b>54. Issue 4.G – Transitional Compensation:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Recommend legislative changes to require starting TC 14 days after UCMJ discharge sentencing or initiation of admin separation action and authorize TC payments for 36 months for everyone;  (2) Develop guidance for commanding officers on TC pertaining to proper documentation in separation papers;  (3) Require Services to monitor disposition of separation cases due to DV so that they are properly documented;  (4) Expand guidance to give Service Secretaries authority to grant TC in cases of extenuating circumstances consistent with the law;  (5) Initiate public affairs campaign on TC.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 123	<p>RECOMMENDATION ONE: DoD took no position since it sees this as a recommendation to the Congress.</p> <p>RECOMMENDATION TWO, THREE, and FIVE: DoD agreed.</p> <p>RECOMMENDATION FOUR: DoD agreed with intent, but suggests that the Congress must provide specific statutory authority for the Secretaries to waive the requirements of the statute for good cause.</p>	

Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<p><b>55. Issue 4.H – Provisions for Safe Shelter for Victims of Domestic Violence:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i>            (1) Ensure access to either on- or off-installation sheltering services;            (2) Ensure access to sheltering services within reasonable distance at overseas locations;            (3) Establish policy to provide military sponsored shelter for up to 72 hours with no mandatory reporting;            (4) Ensure dissemination of shelter info;            (5) Develop policy emphasizing self-determination in safety planning;            (6) Ensure all CONUS FAP staff know about local shelters;            (7) Ensure adequate funding for military shelters;            (8) Seek alternative methods of funding for military shelters.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 127	DoD agreed with recommendations one, two, and four through seven. DoD agreed to study recommendations three and eight.	
<p><b>56. Issue 5.A – Definition:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD</i>            incorporate the definition provided into DoD policy and programs.  <i>A minority opinion was provided (Second Year Report, Page 135)</i></p>	Second Year Report, Page 133	DoD disagreed with both the majority and minority definitions proposed and stated that it will propose an alternative definition of its own that will address the conduct covered in the DTFDV definition.	In Second Year Report on page 134, the DTFDV provided a final recommended DV definition to DoD.
<p><b>57. Issue 5.B – Confidentiality:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i>            working with Services and DTFDV, create a policy to provide confidentiality to victims of DV.</p>	Second Year Report, Page 137	DoD agreed.	

Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<b>58. Issue 5.C – Case Review Committee:</b> <i>Recommend DoD,</i> working with DTFDV and the Services, develop a new intervention process model.	Second Year Report, Page 140	DoD agreed with the recommendation and agreed to study the FAP case review process and to make changes as appropriate.	
<b>59. Issue 5.D – Overseas Family Advocacy Services for DoD Civilians and Contractors:</b> <i>Recommend DoD</i> ensure overseas employment contracts explain eligibility for family advocacy services on a space available/fee for service basis.	Second Year Report, Page 144	DoD agreed.	
<b>60. <i>Recommend DoD</i></b> explore all options for hiring and maintaining providers necessary to assess/intervene in DV overseas.	Second Year Report, Page 153	DoD agreed.	
<b>61. <i>Recommend DoD</i></b> ensure maximum use of treatment/intervention resources in civilian communities overseas when available and appropriate.	Second Year Report, Page 153	DoD agreed.	

Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
62. <i>Recommend DoD</i> ensure foreign language ability and cultural competence are included in job qualification standards of personnel providing DV services overseas.	Second Year Report, Page 154	DoD stated that it wants DV providers at all installations to have proficiency in some foreign language and some under-standing of another culture. DoD went on to say that the recommendation would significantly impede DoD in carrying out its mission for the following reasons: (1) Since some DV services are provided by military personnel, a requirement for combined foreign language proficiency and cultural com-petence may conflict with both mission requirements and assignment policies; (2) Even if civil service qualifications for overseas positions that provide DV services could include foreign language and cultural competence, civilian personnel policies require rotation from OCONUS locations to CONUS locations after five years. Such requirements could impair DoD's ability to fill the ensuing vacancy and thus to maintain "the mix of providers necessary to assess and intervene in DV incidents overseas"; (3) Any tests of cultural compe-tence that should be required of applicants for positions that provide DV services would need to be reviewed for feasibility.	
63. <i>Recommend DoD</i> ensure that Services have ongoing cultural competence training programs for all personnel overseas.	Second Year Report, Page 154	DoD agreed that all personnel who provide services to DV victims OCONUS should undergo cultural competence training, but disagreed that such training must be provided for all personnel OCONUS.	

Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<b>Third Year Report – February 2003</b>			
64. <i>Recommend DoD</i> identify, evaluate, and prioritize all resources for domestic violence programs.	Third Year Report, Page xv	Pending	
65. Within 2 years of receipt of Third Year Report, <i>recommend DoD</i> convene a small, independent group with characteristics similar to DTFDV to review and assess progress of implementation.	Third Year Report, Page xv	Pending	
66. Issue 1.A – Victim Advocate Protocol: <i>Recommend DoD</i> , (1) Adopt and widely disseminate Victim Advocate Protocol provided; (2) Follow victim advocate recruiting and training guidance provided; (3) Implement Victim Advocate Program as recommended; (4) Establish victim advocate certification program; (5) Establish “appeal-type” mechanism for victims/advocates.	Third Year Report, Page 27	Pending	
67. Issue 1.B – Commanding Officer’s Protocol/Guidelines: <i>Recommend DoD</i> adopt and widely disseminate Commanding Officer’s Protocol/ Guidelines provided.	Third Year Report, Page 52	Pending	

Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<p>68. Issue 1.C – Law Enforcement Protocol: <i>Recommend DoD</i> adopt and widely disseminate Law Enforcement Protocol provided.</p>	Third Year Report, Page 74	Pending	
<p>69. Issue 1.D – Offender Intervention Protocol: <i>Recommend DoD,</i> (1) Adopt and widely disseminate Offender Intervention Protocol provided; (2) Establish standard offender intervention curriculum and train intervention staff; (3) Develop evaluation standards; (4) Direct Services to conduct ongoing evaluations of offender intervention programs; (5) Collaborate with DoJ to support testing of new models of intervention; (6) Examine needs of female offenders and develop protocol and standard intervention curriculum for them.</p>	Third Year Report, Page 106	Pending	
<p>70. Chapter 2 – Case Review Committee (CRC): <i>Recommend DoD,</i> (1) Replace CRC, in adult DV cases, with Domestic Violence Assessment and Intervention Team (DVAIT); (2) Develop DoD DVAIT form.</p>	Third Year Report, Page 115	Pending	

Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<p>71. Chapter 3 – Nondisclosure Policy: <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Adopt and widely disseminate nondisclosure policy provided;</p> <p>(2) Develop policy guidance on victim advocate record-keeping;</p> <p>(3) Address Privacy Act issues.</p>	Third Year Report, Page 124	Pending	
<p>72. Chapter 4 – Prevention of Domestic Violence: <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Adopt and widely disseminate Domestic Violence Conceptual Model provided;</p> <p>(2) Develop joint Service effort for standardizing DoD Domestic Violence Program;</p> <p>(3) Develop policy for handling low-risk cases;</p> <p>(4) Partner with civilian agencies and ensure joint Service involvement in pursuing research recommendations;</p> <p>(5) Encourage commanding officers to discuss issues relating to DV.</p>	Third Year Report, Page 131	Pending	
<p>73. Chapter 5 – Severity of Abuse: <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Ensure commanding officers scrutinize DV incidents to determine if UCMJ violated;</p> <p>(2) Ensure Service conduct timely risk/lethality assessments to determine appropriate intervention and command response;</p> <p>(3) Ensure one DoD risk assessment grid;</p> <p>(4) Ensure Services establish tracking mechanism;</p> <p>(5) Discontinue collecting and reporting severity level data.</p>	Third Year Report, Page 136	Pending	



Recommendations	Location	DoD Response	Status
<p><b>74. Chapter 6 – Fatality Reviews:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Institute annual DV fatality review summit;</p> <p>(2) Instruct Services to establish, train, and maintain on-call HQ-level DV fatality review teams;</p> <p>(3) Instruct installations to include DV fatality review provisions in the DV MOAs with civilian jurisdictions.</p> <p>(4) Conduct DV fatality reviews as recommended in prior reports and compose teams as recommended.</p>	Third Year Report, Page 138	Pending	
<p><b>75. Chapter 7 – Commanding Officer and Senior Enlisted Training:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Ensure Services provide written guidance to training/education commands for DV training;</p> <p>(2) Select standardized delivery models as specified for training;</p> <p>(3) Seek partnerships to develop DV prevention and education programs.</p>	Third Year Report, Page 142	Pending	
<p><b>76. Chapter 8 – Chaplain Training:</b>  <i>Recommend DoD,</i></p> <p>(1) Develop standard DoD policy on clergy confidentiality;</p> <p>(2) Develop standardized DV training curriculum for chaplains using outline provided.</p>	Third Year Report, Page 143	Pending	

## **Key Points from the Reports of the DTFDV**

- ▶ Demand a Culture Shift That ...
  - Does Not Tolerate Domestic Violence
  - Moves from Victims Holding Offenders Accountable to the System Holding Offenders Accountable
  - Punishes Criminal Behavior
- ▶ Establish a Victim Advocate Program with Provisions for Nondisclosure
- ▶ Implement Proposed Intervention Process Model with Following Protocols:
  - Victim Advocate Protocol
  - Commanding Officer's Protocol/Guidelines
  - Law Enforcement Protocol
  - Offender Intervention Protocol
- ▶ Replace Case Review Committee (CRC) with Domestic Violence Assessment and Intervention Team (DVAIT)
- ▶ Enhance System and Command Accountability and Include a Fatality Review Process
- ▶ Implement DoD-Wide Training and Prevention Programs
- ▶ Hold Offenders Accountable
- ▶ Strengthen Local Military and Civilian Community Collaboration
- ▶ Evaluate Results of Domestic Violence Prevention and Intervention Efforts

## **Core Principles of Domestic Violence Intervention**

- ▶ Respond to the Needs of Victims and Provide for Their Safety
- ▶ Hold Offenders Accountable
- ▶ Consider Multi-cultural and Cross-cultural Factors
- ▶ Consider the Context of the Violence and Provide a Measured Response
- ▶ Coordinate Military and Civilian Response
- ▶ Involve Victims in Monitoring Domestic Violence Services
- ▶ Provide Early Intervention

Key Points and Core Principles of Intervention and the Recommendation(s) That Support Each

- KEY POINT

Demand a Culture Shift That ...
- ▶ Does Not Tolerate Domestic Violence
- ▶ Moves from Victims Holding Offenders Accountable to the System Holding Offenders Accountable
- ▶ Punishes Criminal Behavior

All Recommendations Support This Goal

- KEY POINT

Establish a Victim Advocate Program with Provisions for Nondisclosure
- CORE PRINCIPLE

Respond to the Needs of Victims and Provide for Their Safety/  
Involve Victims in Monitoring Domestic Violence Services

Recommendation Numbers

3	48
4	49
13	50
14	51
19	52
20	53
21	54
28	55
29	57
31	60
33	66
34	71
47	73

- KEY POINT

Hold Offenders Accountable
- CORE PRINCIPLE

Hold Offenders Accountable

Recommendation Numbers

3	31
4	33
12	43
13	44
14	47
15	49
20	69
28	73
29	

**KEY POINT**

Implement Proposed Intervention Process Model with Following Protocols:

- ▶ Victim Advocate
- ▶ Commanding Officer's Protocol/Guidelines
- ▶ Law Enforcement
- ▶ Offender Intervention

*Recommendation Numbers*

43  
51  
53  
58  
66  
67  
68  
69

**KEY POINT**

Replace Case Review Committee (CRC) with Domestic Violence Assessment and Intervention Team (DVAIT)

*Recommendation Numbers*

58  
70

**KEY POINT**

Enhance System and Command Accountability and Include a Fatality Review Process

*Recommendation Numbers*

12  
13  
16  
17  
31  
44  
45  
46  
74

**KEY POINT** Implement DoD-Wide Training and Prevention Programs

*Recommendation Numbers*

5	39
6	40
7	41
8	42
9	43
10	48
11	54
12	55
20	59
22	75
29	76
38	

**KEY POINT** Strengthen Local Military and Civilian Community Collaboration

**CORE PRINCIPLE** Coordinate Military and Civilian Response

*Recommendation Numbers*

1	36
2	37
26	47
27	50
30	55
32	61
33	

**KEY POINT** Evaluate Results of Domestic Violence Prevention and Intervention Efforts

*Recommendation Numbers*

15
17
18
19
25
36
54
65

**CORE PRINCIPLE** Consider Multi-Cultural and Cross-Cultural Factors

*Recommendation Numbers*

35

41

60

61

62

63

**CORE PRINCIPLE** Consider the Context of the Violence and Provide a Measured Response

*Recommendation Numbers*

15

20

34

49

73

**CORE PRINCIPLE** Provide Early Intervention

*Recommendation Number*

72